

# The need to be “financially bilingual” US GAAP vs IFRS

## VII. CASH AND EQUIVALENTS

This presentation contains information, in addition to the material prepared and provided by the professor, from:

1. Elizabeth A. Gordon, Temple University, Jana S. Raedy, University of North Carolina, Alexander J. Sannella, Rutgers Business School, ©2016, Pearson | ISBN13: 9780132162302
2. Donald E. Kieso, Jerry J. Weygandt, Terry D. Warfield, Intermediate Accounting, 16th Edition
3. March 2016, ©2016
4. Douplik T. and Perera H. INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING- CONT4029 (Custom Edition by Prof. Aida Lozada and Prof. Carmen Ríos. McGraw-Hill, 2014. ISBN 9781308235059.
5. Timothy, D., Finn M., Gotti, G. and Perera, H. 5ed. INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING. Mc Graw Hill 2020, New York.
6. J. David Spiceland, James Sepe, Mark Nelson, Intermediate Accounting, 6th edition, McGraw-Hill, 2014. ISBN-13: 978-0077614065 ISBN-10: 0077614062
7. Other: Official Websites

## VII. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

### IFRS

1. Allows **overdrafts** to be **offset** against other cash accounts.
2. **Liabilities** can be **offset** against other **cash accounts** and stated at their net value on the balance sheet.

### US GAAP

1. **Overdrafts** should be treated as **liability**.
2. Requires **assets** and **liabilities** to be stated **separately** on balance sheet.

## SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES: SELECTED EXAMPLES

Conceptual Framework

Segment Reporting

Interim Reporting

Cash and Equivalents

**Account Receivable ✓**

Inventory

Revaluation at Market Value  
(PPE)

Depreciation

Interest Capitalization

Impairment

Exchanges

Research and Development

Contingency

# The need to be “financially bilingual” US GAAP vs IFRS

## VIII. ACCOUNT RECEIVABLE

## VIII. ACCOUNT RECEIVABLE

Starting in 2020, companies will be required to use:

**CECL (“Current Expected Credit Loss”) model recognition of Bad Debts Expense**

1. To give a **more accurate estimate** of bad debt expense
2. Uses the allowance method

### **NEW**

1. The **“probable”** threshold for identifying bad debts is **removed**.
2. The CECL model **explicitly requires creditors** to also consider additional information such as reasonable and supportable **forecasts about the future**.

## Account Receivable

### US GAAP: FASB ASC 860

1. Allows a “fair value option” for accounting for receivables.
2. Allows Account Receivable “available for sale” accounting only for investments in securities.
3. Requires more disaggregation of accounts and notes receivable in the balance sheet or notes.
4. CECL model, companies consider all relevant information when assessing credit losses, including reasonable and supportable forecasts about the future.

### IFRS No. 9

1. Restricts the circumstances in which that option is allowed.
2. Does not allow that approach for receivables.
3. Does not require separate disclosure.
4. ECL model reports a “12-month ECL” unless credit quality has deteriorated significantly

## Transfer of Account Receivable

1. Accounting treatment is similar to accounting for the sale of other assets

2. A loss is commonly recognized

### 3. When the transfer occurs?

When the company (the transferor) has *surrendered control* over the assets transferred.

## Sale of Account Receivable

### US GAAP: FASB ASC 860

1. Focuses on whether **control** of assets has shifted from the transferor to the transferee.

### IFRS No. 9

1. If the company **transfers substantially** all of the **risks** and rewards of ownership, the transfer is treated as a sale
2. If the company retains substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership, the transfer is treated as a secured borrowing
3. Otherwise, the company accounts for the transaction as a sale if it has transferred control, and as a secured borrowing if it has retained control